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ABSTRACT

Context Majority of the patients developing obstructive jaundice have an underlying malignancy. Identification of a benign pathology like heterotopic pancreas as an aetiology is uncommon and usually occurs only subsequent to a major operation.

Case report We report a case of heterotopic pancreas adjacent to the ampulla of Vater mimicking distal cholangiocarcinoma. A 47-year-old patient presented with abdominal pain and obstructive jaundice. ERCP demonstrated a distal common bile duct stricture suspicious of cholangiocarcinoma. He underwent a pylorus-preserving pancreaticoduodenectomy. Histology showed a nodule of heterotopic pancreatic tissue adjacent to the ampulla.

Conclusion We have reviewed the literature on heterotopic pancreas of the periampullary region presenting with biliary obstruction. This is a rare entity and remains difficult to diagnose, despite advances in radiological and endoscopic imaging techniques. For symptomatic patients with an established diagnosis of periampullary heterotopic pancreas, local excision may be sufficient. However, in the absence of unequivocal imaging or histological confirmation of benign pathology, and when there is a suspicion of underlying malignancy, pancreaticoduodenectomy may be the only treatment option, as in this case.

INTRODUCTION

Heterotopic pancreas is an uncommon developmental anomaly, which may be noted both during operations and post-mortem autopsies. As reported by Hsia et al., it has been recognized since 1727 when Jean Schultz found it in an ileal diverticulum during autopsy of a newborn [1]. In 1859, Klob presented histological confirmation of heterotopic pancreatic tissue in two cases [2]. In autopsy series, the reported prevalence of heterotopic pancreas ranges from 0.55 to 13.7% [3]. Clinically, pancreatic heterotopia is identified with an estimated frequency of one in every 500 upper abdominal operations [4]. It usually occurs in the upper gastrointestinal tract, the commonest sites being duodenum (27.5%), stomach (25.5%) and jejunum (15.9%) [5]. Less common sites include the umbilicus, fallopian tube, lymph nodes, mediastinum, tongue and submandibular salivary gland. Only 21 cases of heterotopic pancreas at periampullary region have so far been reported in the literature [3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. We report such a case and review the literature on the diagnosis and management of these lesions.
A 47-year-old man was admitted to his local hospital with a history of progressive jaundice and abdominal pain for three months. An ultrasound did not demonstrate gallstones. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) suggested a distal bile duct stricture, suspicious of cholangiocarcinoma (Figure 1). Brushing was not done and attempted stenting was unsuccessful. The patient was then referred for further management to a tertiary hepatopancreaticobiliary unit. On admission, his routine blood results were noted to be within normal limits, with the exception of his liver function tests: serum bilirubin 428 μmol/L (reference range: 1-17 μmol/L), alkaline phosphatase 430 IU/L (reference range: 39-117 IU/L), ALT 93 IU/L (reference range: 0-40 IU/L). Serum CA 19-9 was normal. He underwent a percutaneous transhepatic cholangiopancreatography (PTC) and internal-external drainage followed by internalisation of the stent. A contrast-enhanced pancreatic protocol helical CT did not demonstrate a mass in the head of the pancreas (Figure 2). Endoscopic ultrasound was not performed because it was felt that the patient needed surgery in view of highly suspicious ERCP appearance irrespective of endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) findings. So he was explored once his jaundice had resolved. At laparotomy, a 20 mm mass was palpable in the head of the pancreas. There was no evidence of intra-abdominal metastases. A pylorus-preserving pancreaticoduodenectomy was performed. He made an uneventful recovery apart from a superficial wound haematoma, which needed evacuation. He was well, mobile and pain free prior to his discharge on post-operative day 18.

**Histopathological Findings**

Macroscopic examination revealed a nodule measuring 15x14x7 mm immediately adjacent to the ampulla of Vater in the wall of the duodenum. The nodule had a homogenous, yellow cut surface with no areas of haemorrhage or necrosis. Histologically, it was composed of pancreatic tissue, including ducts, acini, and well-formed islets. It lay in the muscularis propria of the periampullary duodenum, immediately adjacent to the ampulla of Vater, but did not communicate with the ampulla (Figures 3 and 4). It was
completely separate from the native pancreas. The common bile duct showed acute and chronic inflammatory changes consistent with distal obstruction (Figure 5). The native pancreas showed foci of chronic pancreatitis. There was no dysplasia or malignancy.

DISCUSSION

Pancreatic heterotopia is defined as histologically normal pancreatic tissue outside its usual location, showing no anatomic or vascular continuity with the main body of the pancreas [4]. Heterotopic pancreas has been found in all age groups, with a peak incidence in the fourth, fifth and sixth decades of life. The male-to-female ratio is 3:1. Several hypotheses have been advanced to explain the origin of heterotopic pancreas.

1. The pancreas is formed by two buds of endodermal cells arising from caudal part of

Table 1. Summary of the reported cases of heterotopic pancreas in the ampulla of Vater (AV) or the common bile duct (CBD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Symptoms (duration)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Size (mm)</th>
<th>Biliary dilatation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Hoelzer, 1940 [8]</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Pain, mass abdomen, jaundice (1 year)</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Mitchell et al., 1943 [9]</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Barbosa et al., 1946 [4]</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Gas indigestion (15 years)</td>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Laughlin et al., 1983 [15]</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Pain (3 months)</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Coupland et al., 1987 [16]</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Jaundice (8 weeks)</td>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Tsunoda et al., 1990 [17]</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Kubota et al., 1996 [18]</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Pain (N/a)</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Hammarstrom et al., 1999 [19]</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Acute pancreatitis</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Contini et al., 2003 [20]</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Pain, jaundice (2 weeks)</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Obermaier et al., 2004 [21]</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Jaundice (N/a)</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Maisonette et al., 2004 [22]</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>Jaundice (N/a)</td>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Wagle et al., 2005 [23]</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Pain, jaundice (2 weeks)</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Biswas et al., 2007 (Present study)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Pain, jaundice (3 months)</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/a: not available  
F: female; M: male
the foregut - anterior and posterior pancreatic buds [24]. Prior to fusion of these buds, small branches from them may become attached to the gut wall at various locations. These branches remain anchored to the gut wall and as the pancreatic gland pulls away from the gut, these remain grafted in its new location on the gut wall and develop as heterotopic pancreatic tissue [25].

2. The stomach, duodenum and pancreas have a common origin from the embryonic foregut. The origin of heterotopic pancreatic tissue may be the result of abnormal differentiation of multipotent regional endoderm. This may explain why heterotopic pancreatic tissue is most often located in the wall of the stomach, duodenum or proximal small bowel [26].

3. Persistence or incomplete regression of the left ventral pancreatic bud, which is normally destined to atrophy [27].

When discussing heterotopic pancreas, Pearson [3] grouped the common bile duct and ampulla of Vater as one topographical site, partly because pancreatic heterotopia in

Table 1. Continues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Radiologic/ultrasonographic/endoscopic investigations</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>Inoperable Death</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a Death</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>Pancreatectomy Death</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Abdominal X-ray: negative</td>
<td>Pancreatectomy Death</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Oral cholecystogram: non-functioning gallbladder</td>
<td>Pancreatectomy Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>Pancreatectomy Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>Pancreatectomy Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Resection of CBD Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>OGD: inconclusive; Abdominal US: gallstones, dilated CBD; ERCP: narrowing of distal CBD; selective angiography: increased vascularity</td>
<td>Pancreatectomy Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Abdominal US: mild dilatation of CBD; ERCP: narrowing of CBD and PD</td>
<td>Pancreatectomy Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Abdominal X-ray: recurrent hiatus hernia and gallstones</td>
<td>Ampullctomy Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Abdominal US: dilated IHBD, EHBD, CHD, and gallbladder; PTC: same with complete obstruction of CBD</td>
<td>Pancreatectomy Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Abdominal US: dilated IHBD, EHBD; ERCP: same with filling defect in distal CBD; cholangioscopy: polypoid lesion distal CBD</td>
<td>Resection of CBD Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>OGD: small lesion in ampulla of Vater</td>
<td>Endoscopic sphincterotomy + biopsy Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>ERCP: failed; PTC: dilated IHBD, stricture of CBD</td>
<td>Pancreatectomy Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>OGD: unremarkable; abdominal US: dilated CBD, IHBD; ERCP: dilated CBD and PD; EUS: hypoechoic tumour in muscularis propria, leiomyoma (?)</td>
<td>Ampullctomy Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Abdominal US/CT: dilated CBD; ERCP: same with nodular lesion in major papilla; biopsy: inconclusive</td>
<td>Ampullctomy Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>OGD: unremarkable; CT/ MRI: tumour in pancreatic head; MRCP: obstruction of the PD</td>
<td>Pancreatectomy Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>Pancreatectomy Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Abdominal USG/CT: dilated CBD with tapered distal end; ERCP: ampullary Pancreatectomy Cured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Abdominal USG/CT: unremarkable; ERCP/PTC: narrowing of distal CBD</td>
<td>Pancreatectomy Cured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/a: not available
CHD: common hepatic duct; EHBD: extrahepatic bile duct; EUS: endoscopic ultrasound; IHBD: intrahepatic bile duct; OGD: oesophagogastroendoscopy; PD: pancreatic duct; PTBD: percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage; PTC: percutaneous transhepatic cholangiopancreatography
both areas produces common bile duct obstruction. To our knowledge, only 22 cases of heterotopic pancreas, including the present case, have been reported in the literature (Table 1). Nineteen of these 22 patients had some degree of biliary dilatation. The two most common symptoms were jaundice and abdominal pain present in 14 (64%) and 12 (55%) patients, respectively. The maximum size of the lesions ranged from 2 to 40 mm. Development of jaundice was independent of lesional size. The mechanism of biliary tract obstruction by heterotopic pancreas is believed to be due to [28]:

1. secondary irritation as a result of a foreign body effect and excessive irritative secretion producing spasm and hyperirritability of local duodenal and biliary segment.
2. production of some degree of intermittent obstruction to biliary flow due to pressure, tissue oedema leading to acute or chronic cholangitis.

Heterotopic pancreas was present in the common bile duct in 6 (27%) patients and in the ampullary region in 16 (73%). The gross appearance of aberrant pancreatic tissue was similar to that of normal pancreas and was typically described as irregularly shaped yellow nodules. In about half the cases, heterotopic pancreas was located entirely within the submucosa and less frequently involved the muscularis propria. The histological appearance varied from that of perfectly formed and organized pancreatic lobules with ducts, acini, and islets of Langerhans, to that of pancreatic tissue demonstrating only a few widely separated acini with minimally developed ducts. Accurate preoperative diagnosis of heterotopic pancreas in the periampullary region using endoscopy and radiological imaging is difficult. The presence of central umbilication on endoscopy, though a characteristic finding in heterotopic pancreas, is quite infrequent [6]. The diagnosis was successfully made endoscopically in only one of the previously reported cases. As most of the lesions are submucosal, endoscopic biopsy is also unhelpful in most cases.

Contrast-enhanced CT does not appear to be helpful in establishing the diagnosis of heterotopic pancreas pre-operatively either. EUS may have an important role to play in the diagnosis. At EUS, heterotopic pancreas in the upper gastrointestinal tract is usually hypoechoic and heterogeneous with indistinct margins and is most commonly seen to arise from the submucosa [6]. However, EUS was performed in only one of the 22 patients with periampullary heterotopic pancreas in this series. Although EUS suggested benign pathology, it misdiagnosed the heterotopic pancreas as a leiomyoma [6]. Magnetic resonance imaging or cholangiopancreatography (MRI/MRCP) was done only in one other case [21] where it was not helpful in making the diagnosis. Local excision of heterotopic pancreas when feasible, rather than radical operation, may be the treatment of choice [7]. However, given the difficulties with accurate preoperative diagnosis and the suspicion of an underlying periampullary malignancy, pancreaticoduodenectomy was performed in 14 (64%) of the 22 patients. Five patients were successfully managed by local excision - three by ampullectomy and two by excision of the common bile duct. Among these patients who had ampullectomy, the benign nature of the pathology was suggested by either EUS [6] or pre-operative endoscopic biopsy [20] or per-operative frozen section biopsy [15]. Similarly, out of two patients who had excision of common bile duct done, benign pathology was suggested by intra-operative cholangioscopy in one case [17] and per-operative frozen section biopsy in the other [12]. One patient had endoscopic sphincterotomy done and the endoscopic biopsy revealed heterotopic pancreas [19].

CONCLUSION

Heterotopic pancreas in the periampullary region presenting with biliary obstruction is a rare entity and remains difficult to diagnose, despite advances in radiological and endoscopic imaging techniques. The role of EUS and MRI in clarifying the diagnosis of
heterotopic pancreas of the periampullary region remains unclear. Frozen section biopsy at the time of operation definitely helps in pathologic diagnosis. The problem is that even if a biopsy specimen shows non-cancerous findings, it cannot be confirmed whether the whole lesion is benign and there seems to be a relationship between heterotopic pancreatic tissue and pancreatic carcinoma [14]. For symptomatic patients with an established diagnosis of periampullary heterotopic pancreas, local excision may be sufficient. However, in the absence of unequivocal imaging or histological confirmation of benign pathology, and when there is a suspicion of underlying malignancy, pancreatic-duodenectomy may be the only treatment option, as in this case.

Received September 30th, 2006 - Accepted October 24th, 2006

Keywords Cholangiocarcinoma; Choristoma; Jaundice, Obstructive; Pancreas

Abbreviations CBD: common bile duct; CHD: common hepatic duct; EHBD: extrahepatic bile duct; EUS: endoscopic ultrasound; IHBD: intrahepatic bile duct; OGD: oesophagogastroduodenoscopy; PD: pancreatic duct; PTBD: percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage; PTC: percutaneous transhepatic cholangiopancreatography

Acknowledgement Drs. Biswas and Husain contributed equally to this paper

Correspondence Atindriya Biswas Department of HPB Surgery 2nd Floor, West Wing Royal London Hospital Whiteapel London E1 1BB United Kingdom Phone: +44-(0)773.971.2493

Fax: +44-(0)207.377.7439 E-mail: atruks@yahoo.co.uk

References
3. Pearson S. Aberrant pancreas: review of the literature and report of three cases, one of which produced common and pancreatic duct obstruction. AMA Arch Surg 1951; 63:168-86. [PMID 14846476]
25. Derbyshire RC. Studies of accessory pancreas, thesis. Mayo Graduate School of Medicine, University of Minnesota, Rochester, 1940.