The Value of a Pancreatogram in Chronic Pancreatitis Diagnosed by Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography
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ABSTRACT

Context The presence, course and shape of the accessory pancreatic duct have not been previously studied in patients with chronic pancreatitis.

Objectives The accessory pancreatic duct exhibits several appearances on pancreatography. It was examined using dye-injection endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, and the duct course and shape were studied in patients having chronic pancreatitis and were compared to patients with normal pancreatogram.

Design A prospective comparative study was carried out.

Patients One hundred and 57 consecutive patients (79 males and 78 females) who underwent endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography for suspicion of pancreatobiliary disease.

Setting Forty-eight patients were diagnosed as having chronic pancreatitis using endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (28 alcoholic, 4 metabolic, and 16 idiopathic) and 109 patients had a normal pancreatogram.

Main outcome measures The insertion type of the accessory pancreatic duct to the main pancreatic duct was determined and the terminal portion of the accessory pancreatic duct was described in both groups.

Results In patients with chronic pancreatitis, the insertion of the accessory pancreatic duct of short type prevailed; 31 patients (64.6%), independently of the degree of intensity of chronic pancreatitis. However, in patients with a normal pancreatogram, the intermediate and long type prevailed, 46 (42.2%) and 41 (37.6%) patients, respectively. In patients with a normal pancreatogram Stick type termination occurred in 66 patients (60.0%), and in patients having chronic pancreatitis, the Cudgel type was present in 34 patients (70.8%) which was statistically significant.

Conclusion The accessory pancreatic duct should be analyzed when we carry out the cholangiopancreatography because the patients with short type insertion have a higher risk of developing chronic pancreatitis.

INTRODUCTION

The anomalies of the pancreatic ducts are not very frequent and, in general, they are divided into three groups: i) independent pancreatic ducts; ii) inversion of the two ducts; and iii) absence of the duct of Santorini. Embryology can be used to explain these anomalies [1, 2]. Two outpouchings from the endodermal lining of the duodenum develop at this time: the ventral pancreas and the dorsal pancreas. The dorsal anlage grows more rapidly and, by the sixth week, it is an elongated nodular structure extending into the dorsal mesentery in which its growth continues. The ventral pancreas remains smaller and is carried away.
from the duodenum by its connection with the common bile duct. The two primordia are brought into apposition by the uneven growth of the duodenum and they fuse by the seventh week. The tail, body, and part of the head of the pancreas are formed by the dorsal component; the remainder of the head and the uncinate process derive from the ventral pancreas. These primitive relations are still distinguishable in the adult pancreas [3].

The dorsal duct arises directly from the duodenal wall and the ventral duct arises from the common bile duct. On fusion of the ventral and dorsal components, the ventral duct forms an anastomosis with the dorsal one, forming the main pancreatic duct. The proximal end of the dorsal duct becomes the accessory duct in adults and is patent in 70% of the specimens [4].

The common outlet of the bile duct and the pancreatic duct observed in most adults is the result of the common origin of the bile duct and the ventral pancreas.

The duct of Santorini is completely independent of the duct of Wirsung and drains in the duodenum by itself in 10% of the cases. In the other 90%, the duct of Wirsung is the principal excretory route for pancreatic juice. When the duct of Santorini represents the main excretory route of the pancreas, the duct of Wirsung may be wholly absent, have a very small opening into the duodenum, or be a branch of the duct of Santorini without communication with the ampulla of Vater. In rare instances, both openings into the duodenum may be vestigial, with subsequent massive dilatation of the pancreatic duct and obstructive pancreatitis [5, 6].

Many studies have been carried out, mainly of the main pancreatic duct, from the radiographic point of view; however, few studies have examined the accessory pancreatic duct, which exhibits several valuable characteristics for the diagnosis of the pancreatic diseases.

The presence, course and shapes of the accessory pancreatic duct have not been previously studied in patients with chronic pancreatitis. In this study, we evaluated the behavior of the accessory pancreatic duct using endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) in patients with chronic pancreatitis and we compared it with a group of patients having a normal pancreatogram.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

A prospective study was carried out on 157 consecutive patients (79 males and 78

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Findings at Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Normal</td>
<td>Visualization of entire duct system with uniform filling of side branches without acinar opacification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Equivocal</td>
<td>Less than 3 abnormal branches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Mild</td>
<td>Normal main duct&lt;br&gt;More than 3 abnormal side branches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Moderate</td>
<td>Enlarged main duct (less than 4 mm)&lt;br&gt;Enlarged gland (up to twice normal)&lt;br&gt;Cavities (less than 10 mm)&lt;br&gt;Irregular ducts&lt;br&gt;Focal reduction in parenchymal echogenicity&lt;br&gt;Echogenic foci in parenchyma&lt;br&gt;Increased or irregular echogenicity of wall of main duct&lt;br&gt;Irregular contour to gland (particularly focal)&lt;br&gt;Enlargement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Marked</td>
<td>Large cavities (greater than 10 mm)&lt;br&gt;Intraductal calculi&lt;br&gt;Duct obstruction with stricture&lt;br&gt;Gross irregularity of main pancreatic duct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
females) who underwent ERCP for suspicion of pancreatobiliary disease in the Department of Endoscopy of the National Institute of Gastroenterology of Havana, Cuba from January 1995 to March 2003. In order to compare the accessory pancreatic duct (APD), ERCP was performed and patients were subdivided into two groups and classified for chronic pancreatitis according to the Cambridge classification (Table 1) \[7, 8\]: patients with chronic pancreatitis (n=48, 30.6%; 30 males and 18 females; alcoholic: 28, 58.3%; metabolic: 4, 8.3%; idiopathic: 16, 33.3%) and patients with a normal pancreatogram (n=109, 69.4%; 49 males and 60 females). In the series, we did not have patients with pancreas divisum.

All pancreatograms were taken by dye contrast injection through the major duodenal papilla, in the Radiology Department of the National Institute of Gastroenterology in Havana, Cuba. In all cases, the presence of the APD was satisfactorily demonstrated by the outflow of the contrast medium through the minor papilla and radiological images. Patients in which the visualization of the APD was not satisfactory were eliminated from the study.

The following criteria were considered in order to establish the insertion type of the accessory pancreatic duct to the main pancreatic duct (MPD): short insertion (the APD joined the MPD near its first inferior branch (Figure 1), intermediate insertion (the APD joined the MPD between its superior and inferior branches (Figure 2), long insertion (the APD joined the MPD at the neck portion (Figure 3), handle type (the APD ran and arched into the caudal portion of the head of the pancreas) and amputated APD (the flow of the contrast through the APD stopped suddenly). To describe the terminal

Figure 1. Accessory pancreatic duct of short insertion in a patient with chronic pancreatitis.

Figure 2. Accessory pancreatic duct of intermediate insertion in a patient with a normal pancreatogram.

Figure 3. Accessory pancreatic duct of long insertion at pancreatic neck level in a patient with a normal pancreatogram.
portion of the APD, we applied the classification used by Kamisawa et al. from Tokyo Metropolitan Komagome Hospital in Japan [9]: stick type (gradual narrowing of the ended portion), branch type (gradual narrowing of the duct which gave off several fine terminal branches), saccular type (saccular termination), spindle type (ampullary termination), Cudgel type (termination was associated with a duct diameter greater than 2 mm (Figure 4), and halfway (“Y” termination).

ETHICS

Patients were asked for verbal informed consent to document their clinical data and endoscopic procedures. The study was approved by the local ethics committees of the National Institute of Gastroenterology.

STATISTICS

Data are reported as absolute and relative frequencies. Data were analyzed by means of the Student's t-test and contingency tables (Pearson's, Yates' corrected, and Mantel-Haenszel for linear trend chi-squared tests were applied where appropriate). The significance level of each single frequency vs. the expected one was evaluated by means of the hierarchical log-linear model. Two-tailed P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The data analysis was performed by running the SPSS/PC+ statistical package on a personal computer.

RESULTS

Table 2 shows the distribution of sex and age in patients with chronic pancreatitis and a normal pancreatogram. A predominance of the male gender was observed (although not reaching statistical significance: P=0.064) in the group of patients with chronic pancreatitis (62.5%) as compared to the control group (45.0%).

According to age, the majority of patients with chronic pancreatitis were more than 60 years old (52.1%), while in the group of patients with a normal pancreatogram, a high frequency of patients less than or equal to 60 years was observed (73.4%); the difference between two groups was statistically significant (P=0.003).

When comparing the insertion type of APD to MPD (Figure 5), we observed a significant difference (P<0.001) between the two groups. In patients with chronic pancreatitis, the short insertion predominated (31/48, 64.6% vs. 16/109, 14.7%) in patients with a normal pancreatogram; P=0.007) while in patients with a normal pancreatogram, the intermediate insertion (46/109, 42.2% vs. 8/48, 16.7%) in chronic pancreatitis; P=0.054) and the long insertion (41/109, 37.6% vs. 5/48, 10.4%) in chronic pancreatitis; P=0.018)
prevailed although only the long insertion reached statistical significance. No significant differences in the frequency of handle type insertion were found (6/109, 5.5% in patients with a normal pancreatogram vs. no cases in chronic pancreatitis; P=0.124). Finally, a significant frequency of amputated APD was observed in patients with chronic pancreatitis (4/48, 8.3% vs. no cases in patients with normal pancreatogram; P=0.019). Table 3 shows the correlation between the severity of chronic pancreatitis diagnosed using ERCP (assessed by Cambridge classification) and the insertion type of the APD to the MPD. In all patients, the short insertion prevailed, regardless of the degree of intensity of pancreatitis (P=0.125); however, in patients with marked chronic pancreatitis, a borderline higher frequency of amputated APD was observed (4/18, 22.2% vs. no cases in the 30 mild/moderate chronic pancreatitis; P=0.067).

Figure 6 illustrates the distribution of the shape of the terminal portion of the APD in patients with chronic pancreatitis and in patients with a normal pancreatogram (patients with amputated APD were not taken into account). A significant difference was observed between the two groups (P<0.001). In patients with chronic pancreatitis, the Cudgel type prevailed (34/44, 77.3% vs. 15/109, 13.8% in normal pancreatogram patients; P<0.001) while, in patients with normal pancreatogram, the stick shape prevailed (66/109, 60.6% vs. 6/44, 13.6% in patients with chronic pancreatitis; P=0.033). When we analyzed the diameter of the APD in the Cudgel type, it was significantly wider

Table 3. Relationship between the intensity of chronic pancreatitis and the insertion type of the APD to the MPD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree of intensity of the pancreatitis</th>
<th>Length of APD to the MPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild (n=24)</td>
<td>16 (66.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P=0.594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate (n=6)</td>
<td>4 (66.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P=0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marked (n=18)</td>
<td>11 (61.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P=0.622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n=48)</td>
<td>31 (64.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the 34 patients with chronic pancreatitis (3.61±1.30 mm) when compared with that of the 15 patients showing a normal pancreatogram (2.47±0.83 mm) (P<0.001).

**DISCUSSION**

The presence of the APD was demonstrated by the direct injection of dye contrast into the pancreatic duct of removed and postmortem specimens. ERCP was used in the evaluation of the APD through dye injection into the minor papilla. However, in many cases, the orifice of the minor papilla is very small and the exact evaluation of the APD is practically impossible by this method. In our study, we injected the contrast by ERCP visualizing the contrast outflow through the minor papilla by means of radiographic study.

Our investigation agreed with the literature which reports that chronic pancreatitis affects men more frequently than women. In population studies, males are affected more commonly than females (6.7 versus 3.2 per 100,000 populations) [9].

Sex differences with respect to etiology are also reported in the literature: alcohol-induced illness is more prevalent in males, idiopathic and hyperlipidemic-induced pancreatitis is more prevalent in females, and equal sex ratios are observed in chronic pancreatitis associated with hereditary pancreatitis [10].

The rate of chronic pancreatitis peaks at 45-54 years of age in males and then declines; female rates reach a plateau which remains stable after 35 years of age. The mean age at diagnosis is 46±13 years. In idiopathic chronic pancreatitis, a bimodal age distribution has been reported, designated as early-onset form (median age 19.2 years) and late-onset form (median age 56.2 years) [11, 12]. In our study, the diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis prevailed in older people (over 60 years of age).

In a study of 176 pancreatograms carried out by Dr. Kamisawa, it was found that the insertion type of APD was long in 51 patients (29.0%); intermediate in 75 (42.6%); short in 21 (11.9%) and ansa type in 29 (16.5%) [9]. These results are very similar to our study in which a slight prevalence existed in patients with the intermediate type insertion [13].

When analyzing the insertion type in patients with chronic pancreatitis we observed that the short type prevailed, although similar studies were not found in the literature. We think that the short type insertion could be considered as an anatomic risk factor for the development of chronic pancreatitis since, although the function of the APD is ignored, it could serve as drainage to the MPD, and it would prevent the appearance of chronic pancreatitis by reducing the pressure of the MPD.

Therefore, since the APD is shorter and closer to its outlet in the minor papilla, the possibility of drainage of the pancreatic gland would be limited only to the head; the drainage of the pancreatic secretion coming from the body and the tail would be even more restricted. This would favor a pressure increase inside the MPD, with the resulting unchaining of the physiopathological mechanisms implied in the pathogenesis of chronic pancreatitis, independently of the primary cause [14].

In our investigation, it was demonstrated that only 5 patients (10.4%) with chronic pancreatitis had an APD of long type insertion, supporting the hypothesis that a pancreas is better drained by the long duct, thus helping to decompress the MPD and avoid pancreatic pain and the progression of the disease [15]. This could be related to the role performed by this duct in patients with chronic pancreatitis which is to serve as a second drainage system to the flow of pancreatic juice. This supports our hypothesis that, in patients with chronic pancreatitis, the APD could act as a “security” valve.

In conclusion, in patients with chronic pancreatitis, the short type of insertion of the APD prevailed, suggesting that this is the most frequent type of insertion in this disease. This could be a risk factor to keep in mind when studying patients having clinical suspicion of chronic pancreatitis or at risk of having the disease. We found that the APD of the Cudgel type was enlarged to about twice the normal size in chronic pancreatitis patients as compared to the control group.
Finally, we believe that the APD must be analyzed when performing a cholangiopancreatography diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis and instead of only focusing on the main pancreatic duct or its accessory branches, as reported in many classifications.
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